|  | Case 3:14-cr-00136NMTHDocuments46ATEBERISORA19/1140URage 1vof 199 Page 10 94 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXASNORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS |   |   |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
|  | DALLAS DIVISION FILED   |   |   |  |  |
|  |   |   | DALLAS DIVISION   | A Company of the Comp |  |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA VS.   |   | TES OF AMERICA  | )   | AUG 1 9 2014   |  |
|  |   |   | )   | CASE NO.:3:14-CR-136-M (02)<br>CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  |  |
| LEONEL MARTIATU, Defendant.  |   | •   | )   | By Deputy  |  |
| REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  |   |   |   |  |  |
| CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY  |   |   |   |  |  |
|  |   |   |   |  |  |
| LEONEL MARTIATU, by consent, under authority of <u>United States v. Dees</u> , 125 F.3d 261 (5th Cir. 1997), has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 5 of the Indictment. After cautioning and examining LEONEL MARTIATU under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was knowledgeable and voluntary and that the offense(s) charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that LEONEL MARTIATU be adjudged guilty of Count 1 of the Indictment, charging a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1029(b)(2), that is, Conspiracy to Commit Access Device Fraud, and Count 5 of the Indictment, charging a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1), that is Aggravated Identity Theft, and have sentence imposed accordingly. After being found guilty of the offense by the district judge, |   |   |   |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$  | The def   | e defendant is currently in custody and should be ordered to remain in custody.   |   |  |  |
|  | convinc   | The defendant must be ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1) unless the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released. |   |  |  |
|  |   | I find by clear and convir  | compliant with the current condition acing evidence that the defendant is n | s of release. not likely to flee or pose a danger to any re be released under § 3142(b) or (c).  |  |
|  |   |   | en compliant with the conditions of   | release. I be set for hearing upon motion of the   |  |
|  | The defendant must be ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) unless (1)(a) the Court finds there                             |   |   |  |  |

Date: August 19, 2014.

released.

PAUL D. STICKNEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## **NOTICE**

is a substantial likelihood that a motion for acquittal or new trial will be granted, or (b) the Government has recommended that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed, or (c) exceptional circumstances are clearly shown under § 3145(c) why the defendant should not be detained, and (2) the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if

Failure to file written objections to this Report and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days from the date of its service shall bar an aggrieved party from attacking such Report and Recommendation before the assigned United States District Judge. 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B).